

Direction

Convergent Research Map — Direction · Navigational Mind Framework

*Prepared under NMA-14 Rev.1 Governance · Dr. Olutoyese Oyelese, MD · Navigational Mind Inc.
Research conducted August 2026*

Preface: The Philosophical Precision of This Document

Direction is the most philosophically ambitious document in the NMF series. Its three vignettes — the fertilized cell, the baby learning to walk, the person in a pitch-black room — each demonstrate the same structural claim at three different scales: that orientation, pull, and resistance-sensing precede thought, plan, goal, and conscious decision. The document makes a claim about something more fundamental than motivation, goal setting, or intention: that the mind is already directed before any of these other processes can begin. The research maps where this claim finds convergent support — and names with precision where each tradition falls short of the document's specific contribution. Claims are labelled EVIDENCED (directly grounded in the document or retrieved sources) or INFERRED (warranted logical extension).

Construct 1: Orientation — Which Way Is Forward

The fallopian tube isn't neutral — it has a shape, with hair-like structures that sweep in one direction toward the womb. Forward exists before the cell understands anything about forward. The baby starts looking up — not at the floor where crawling works fine, but at the world that exists at a height it can't reach yet. In the pitch-black room, the first act is reaching out a hand to figure out which way is which. Not planning. Not goal setting. Just: which way can I move without hitting something?

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Orientation is simply figuring out which way is forward. Not where you're going — you have no idea where you're going. Just: where is the wall, where is open space, which way can I move? That's all your mind needs to start. Not answers. Just a sense of which way is forward.

CONVERGENT WORK

Heidegger — Geworfenheit, Being-in-the-world, and Immer Schon

Heidegger argued that human existence (Dasein) is always already embedded in the world before any conscious reflection begins. The phrase immer schon — 'always already' — captures the pre-reflective condition wherein human existence finds itself inescapably embedded in a world of practical concerns, possessing an implicit fore-structure of understanding that precedes any explicit theoretical inquiry. We are not first isolated subjects who then look for orientation; we are always already oriented before the looking begins. The pitch-black room vignette is a phenomenological reduction to exactly this point: strip away every assumption, every plan — and what remains first is orientation.

Merleau-Ponty — The Intentional Arc and Bodily Orientation

Merleau-Ponty describes the intentional arc as the embodied structure through which the lived body is always already oriented toward its environment before deliberate thought enters. Consciousness, reconceived from 'I think that' to 'I can', is a bodily power of orientation exercised in perception before any judgment. The baby's body organises itself around vertical — up is where things are happening — before the baby has any concept of walking. This is the intentional arc orienting the body before the plan exists.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

Heidegger's thrownness is primarily an existential condition — the fact of being thrown into a world not of one's choosing, shaped by history and culture. NMF Orientation is dynamic and responsive: the pitch-black hand reaching, reorienting when a wall is found, locating open space — not a fixed existential condition but a moment-to-moment detection process. Merleau-Ponty's intentional arc captures the dynamic quality better, but presupposes a body already engaged with an environment. NMF Orientation is more minimal and more fundamental: simply the detection of which way is forward, prior even to the body's skilled engagement. [INFERRED: NMF Orientation is the most minimal possible form of directedness — prior to motor intentionality, which already presupposes some degree of environmental engagement.]

Construct 2: Vector — The Pull or Inclination Toward Action

The cell is dividing, growing, pulled toward the womb by chemistry and timing and signals we're still trying to fully understand. Not given by instructions. Not chosen. Just there. Built into what it is. The baby grabs the edge of the couch and hauls itself upright — not because someone asked it to, not because it was rewarded. It just must. The pull is specific enough to make it try and vague enough that it has no plan for what happens next.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Vector is not a decision. It's not even a thought. It's your body and mind saying: I'd rather go that way than stay here. Some people feel this as curiosity. Some feel it as restlessness. Some feel it as just knowing they can't stay where they are. But it's the same thing — a lean, a pull, a tilt toward something you can't see yet.

CONVERGENT WORK

Merleau-Ponty — Motor Intentionality and the I Can

Merleau-Ponty's motor intentionality — the body's practical 'I can' — orients the body toward its world before any conscious deliberation. Skilled bodies tend toward a maximal grip on their situation — a movement toward optimal purchase that requires no representation of a goal. Motor intentionality involves two kinds of bodily agency: the power of the body to be solicited by a situation and the power of the body to project a situation. The baby's pull toward standing is precisely this: the body is solicited by up there and responds with the I can of grabbing the couch edge.

James Gibson — Affordances and Direct Perception

Gibson's theory of affordances holds that an organism does not first perceive a neutral environment and then reason about action possibilities — it perceives possibilities for action directly and pre-cognitively. The open space in the dark room affords movement in a way the wall does not. The couch edge affords grabbing. These solicitations are perceived directly, without cognitive mediation — they pull the organism toward action before any decision is formed.

Esther Thelen and Linda Smith — Dynamical Systems and Attractor States

Thelen's dynamical systems research showed that infant walking emerges not from a programmed developmental plan but from the self-organisation of multiple components into attractor states. Attractor states are absorbing states that attract the system from other potential states. Walking is an attractor the developing system is drawn toward without any intention or plan from the infant. The vector toward upright locomotion is a property of the dynamical system itself, not of a decision-making agent.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

Merleau-Ponty's motor intentionality is primarily a skilled body's solicitation — it describes the pull skilled practitioners feel toward familiar activities. NMF Vector is prior to skill: the baby doesn't know how to walk but is pulled toward standing anyway. The pull exists before the body knows how to respond. Gibson's affordances describe what the environment offers; NMF Vector describes what the organism itself is pulling toward, independent of any specific environmental configuration. Thelen's attractor states describe emergent locomotion at the developmental scale; NMF Vector is a moment-to-moment phenomenological property. [INFERRED: NMF Vector sits at the convergence of all three — pre-cognitive, pre-skilled, organism-rooted pull that is more fundamental than any of these traditions individually names.]

Construct 3: Slope — The Effort Required to Move

Some cells never make it at all — the effort is too much, the conditions too hostile, the slope too steep. The baby falls again and again — some falls gentle, some harder. Some days it barely tries. Not because the pull went away. But because the effort changes moment to moment. In the dark room: sometimes the floor is flat and smooth. Sometimes it's uphill, or something slows you down. Slope is not the whole journey — just right now, this step, this moment.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Slope is how hard it is to move right now. Not how hard the journey is overall — just right now, this step, this moment. Sometimes the slope is easy and you feel carried. Sometimes it's steep and every inch is effort. And sometimes it's so steep you stop — not because you've lost the pull, but because you physically cannot keep going at this pace.

CONVERGENT WORK

Roy Baumeister — Ego Depletion and Effort as Resource

Ego depletion theory proposes that self-control and volitional action draw on a limited energy resource that is depleted by prior exertion and replenished by rest. When resources are low, the experience of effort increases: the same action that felt easy yesterday feels steep today. Baumeister's strength model of self-control describes how when this resource depletes, previously manageable demands feel increasingly difficult — exactly the phenomenological quality NMF Slope describes: the resistance is not fixed but varies with the organism's current state.

Kahneman — System 2 Effortfulness

Kahneman's distinction between System 1 (fast, effortless, automatic) and System 2 (slow, effortful, deliberate) maps onto Slope at the cognitive level. System 1 operates with essentially no slope — perception, habitual action, automatic responses. System 2 requires effort — it generates the phenomenological experience of doing something hard. NMF Slope is the experience of the System 2 domain: the sense that this step requires more than the last one did, that the ground has changed beneath your feet.

Dynamical Systems — Attractor Depth and Stability

In dynamical systems theory, attractor stability varies: some attractor states are deep and easy to enter (low slope), others are shallow and require sustained force to maintain (high slope). The baby's repeated falls represent transitions between attractor states: upright balance is not yet a deep attractor, so the slope is steep; crawling is a deep attractor, so returning to it is easy. The slope is a property of the relationship between the system and its current attractor landscape.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

Baumeister's ego depletion is a resource depletion model — the slope increases because fuel has been used up. NMF Slope is more structural and more neutral: it does not require a resource metaphor. The slope is simply the current resistance to movement in a given direction at a given moment, shaped by terrain (actual difficulty), current state (organism's resources), and attractor depth (how established the pattern is). Kahneman's System 2 effort describes cognitive processing cost; NMF Slope describes a phenomenological quality of the terrain itself, not of the cognitive process navigating it. [INFERRED: NMF Slope is the most phenomenologically honest name for what ego depletion and System 2 effort are partially capturing — not a resource that runs out, but a feature of the path that is variably steep.]

Construct 4: Direction as Pre-Cognitive Structural Property — The Composite Claim

Direction doesn't wait for you to figure things out. It's already moving. Before you know who you're becoming, before you have a plan, before you've set a single goal — something in you is already oriented, already pulling, already registering how hard the ground is. Direction was never something you needed to find. It was the thing that was already moving before you noticed.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Direction is not a destination. Not a goal. The thing that was already happening in the dark before you had words for any of it. Orientation gave it a which way. Vector gave it a pull. Slope told it how hard this stretch would be. And from those three things — not from a plan, not from a checklist, not from anyone's advice — you started to move.

CONVERGENT WORK

Heidegger — Always Already and Thrown Projection

Heidegger's being-in-the-world provides the most comprehensive philosophical framework for Direction as pre-cognitive structural property. Dasein is not first a subject who then acquires orientation — it is always already in-the-world, always already practical, always already directed. 'Always already' is the philosophical term for exactly what the document's three vignettes demonstrate: the organism is directed before any reflective process can begin.

Merleau-Ponty and Gibson — Body and Environment as Pre-Cognitive System

Merleau-Ponty's intentional arc and Gibson's affordances together supply the pre-cognitive framework: the body's orientation toward the environment — and the environment's solicitation of the organism — precede and enable any subsequent explicit intention or goal. The body that reaches for a cup is already directed before any goal is formed; the organism that perceives an affordance is already oriented toward action before any decision is made.

Anscombe — Philosophy of Action and Intention

Anscombe's foundational question — what makes an action intentional? — opened the question of whether intentionality requires explicit conscious purpose. Her work established that the relationship between intention and action is more complex than prior thinking assumed, making space for the NMF claim: Direction operates below the threshold at which intention questions can even be asked.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

The critical differentials must be named with maximum precision. Direction is not goal-setting: goals are conscious, named, evaluable; Direction is pre-linguistic, pre-evaluative, and does not require a named target. The baby has no goal. The cell has no goal. But all three have Direction. Direction is not motivation theory: motivation asks why someone moves; Direction asks something more primitive: that they are already moving, and which way. Motivation presupposes Direction; NMF Direction names what comes first. Direction is not conscious intention: the organism is already directed before any intention — prior, present, or prospective — has formed. [INFERRED: The three-component structure (Orientation + Vector + Slope) is NMF's most distinctive contribution — a minimal operational vocabulary for the directedness of the mind that is prior to motivation, intention, goal, plan, and decision. The document names the three internal structural features of Direction itself — making the concept operational for a navigator rather than merely descriptive for a theorist.]

Summary: Where Direction Stands in This Terrain

Direction Construct	Strongest Convergent Tradition	What NMF Adds
Orientation — Which way is forward	Heidegger (Geworfenheit, Being-in-the-world, immer schon), Merleau-Ponty (intentional arc)	Dynamic, responsive, minimal — detecting which way is forward prior even to skilled bodily engagement
Vector — The pull or inclination	Merleau-Ponty (motor intentionality, I can, maximal grip), Gibson (affordances), Thelen/Smith (dynamical systems attractor states)	Pre-skill, pre-goal pull — more fundamental than motor intentionality, more organism-rooted than affordances
Slope — The effort required to move	Baumeister (ego depletion), Kahneman (System 2 effortfulness), Dynamical Systems (attractor depth)	Phenomenological terrain feature, not resource depletion — the path is variably steep
Direction as Pre-Cognitive Structural Property	Heidegger (always already), Merleau-Ponty + Gibson (body-environment system), Anscombe (philosophy of action)	Three-component minimal vocabulary for directedness prior to motivation, intention, goal, plan, and decision

Closing Note

The research confirms the document's most radical claim and its most precise contribution simultaneously. The claim — that Direction precedes goal, plan, motivation, and conscious decision — is well-supported across Heidegger, Merleau-Ponty, Gibson, and Thelen. All four traditions, from different angles and disciplines, arrive at the recognition that the organism is already directed before explicit cognition begins. But none of them names this pre-cognitive directedness with the three-component operational clarity the document provides.

Orientation + Vector + Slope is a minimal vocabulary for something that philosophy and psychology have circled for centuries without naming precisely. Heidegger names the fact of thrownness; Merleau-Ponty names the body's pre-reflective arc; Gibson names the environment's solicitation; Thelen names the attractor pull in development. The document names the three internal structural features of Direction itself — and in doing so, makes the concept operational for a navigator rather than merely descriptive for a theorist.

The three vignettes — cell, baby, dark room — are not rhetorical. They are three separate phenomenological demonstrations of the same claim at three different scales. The document earns its philosophical ambition with precision.