

Guardrails for Uncertainty

Convergent Research Map — Articulation · Anchors · Rules · Navigational Mind Framework

Prepared under NMA-14 Rev.1 Governance · Dr. Olutoyese Oyelese, MD · Navigational Mind Inc.

Research conducted August 2026

Preface: The Challenge Named in the Title

Guardrails for Uncertainty is the most operationally integrated document in the NMF series. It does not present a single framework — it weaves three distinct NMF tools into a coherent pre-navigation architecture: Articulation (Naming It), Anchors (What Holds You in a Storm), and Rules (The Promises You Don't Break). The composite is designed for a specific condition: when direction is temporarily inaccessible, when the Navigation Loop cannot run, when the navigator is too activated to steer. These are the tools that function before navigation is possible again. Claims are labelled EVIDENCED (directly grounded in the document or retrieved sources) or INFERRED (warranted logical extension).

Construct 1: Articulation — Turning Fog Into a Map

The three-level framework moves from 'I feel bad' (emotional) to 'Trust is activated because that conversation made me feel unsafe' (structural) to 'Something in me is turning toward honesty even though it's terrifying' (directional). Fog does not disappear — a white line appears to follow.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Articulation is not venting. Venting empties the cup; the cup fills back up with the same stuff. Articulation makes what is happening inside you precise enough to navigate by. Three levels: Emotional Articulation ('bad' becomes 'scared'), Structural Articulation ('Trust is activated because that conversation made me feel unsafe'), Directional Articulation ('something in me is turning toward honesty even though it's terrifying'). Each level more precise than the last. The fog does not disappear — but a white line appears.

CONVERGENT WORK

Eugene Gendlin — Focusing and the Felt Sense

Gendlin developed the felt sense — a vague, whole-body awareness that encompasses everything a person feels and knows about a specific problem, all at once. The Focusing process involves finding a 'handle' — a word, phrase, or image that resonates with the felt sense — and checking it against the bodily felt experience until something fits just right. When the felt sense and the word finally match, Gendlin called this a felt shift — a noticeable bodily change signalling that genuine, embodied change has occurred. The structural parallel with NMF Articulation is precise: both describe a movement from pre-verbal, implicit knowing toward explicit language that can guide action.

Affect Labelling Research — Lieberman et al. (2007)

Putting feelings into words (affect labelling) diminishes the response of the amygdala and other limbic regions to negative emotional images and produces increased activity in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (RVLPFC), a region implicated in cognitive control. RVLPFC and amygdala activity during affect labelling were inversely correlated. In plain terms: naming an emotion reduces its intensity and restores executive functioning. Language is an emergency circuit breaker that interrupts automatic stress responses — the neurological mechanism behind what the document describes: when Trust stops screaming long enough for the other residents to think.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

Gendlin's Focusing is a structured six-step therapeutic process requiring a trained focusing partner or dedicated practice session. NMF Articulation is a three-level framework designed for use in the middle of ordinary life — at a kitchen table with job listings spread out, or in a parking lot at 2:20pm. The three levels (emotional → structural → directional) give the process a direction of travel that Gendlin's Focusing does not name explicitly: you are not just seeking the felt sense for its own sake — you are moving toward directional clarity that can feed the Navigation Loop. [INFERRED: NMF Articulation is Focusing with a navigational destination built in.]

Construct 2: Anchors — What Holds You in a Storm

Maria's story: she cleaned the kitchen, got up at 5:45, called Diane, and heard herself say 'we'll figure it out, we always do.' She deployed all four anchor types in six weeks of crisis — without a framework, because something in her already knew what to hold.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Anchors are not habits. Habits break during a crisis. Anchors are for the crisis. Four types: Structural (same kitchen, same route — not everything changed), Behavioural (5:45am, lunch at the table, walk around the block — the body holds a rhythm the mind has lost), Emotional (Diane on the phone — Trust hears 'someone is here' and stops screaming), Identity (the load-bearing sentence — 'we'll figure it out, we always do' — that keeps the story from unravelling). None of these move you forward. They keep you from being swept away.

CONVERGENT WORK

Psychological First Aid (PFA) — Crisis Stabilisation

PFA — the WHO-endorsed immediate support framework — converges on the same priority: stabilisation before processing. PFA emphasises that acute stress reactions impair executive functioning and make therapeutic processing impossible. The intervention sequence is: ensure safety, stabilise the person, connect them to resources. The document's four anchors map directly onto PFA's stabilisation domain: structural anchors (environmental safety), behavioural anchors (basic physical regulation), emotional anchors (social connectedness), identity anchors (meaning restoration).

Winnicott — Holding Environment

Winnicott's holding environment describes the provision of a psychological space that protects against unmanageable stimulation — presence without agenda, protection against overwhelm, a signal to the deepest part of the person that the situation is survivable. Diane on the phone is not performing therapy — she is providing holding. She doesn't fix anything, doesn't offer solutions, just listens. And Trust — the resident asking 'Am I safe?' — hears someone is there, and settles.

Resilience Research — Masten and Bonanty

Resilience research consistently identifies three factors that predict recovery from adversity: cognitive clarity (making sense of what is happening), stable relationships and routines, and coherent identity narratives. These correspond precisely to Articulation, Anchors, and Rules respectively — but as predictors of recovery after the fact. NMF describes them as tools to deploy in the middle of crisis.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

PFA is a framework for trained first responders assisting others in acute crisis. NMF Anchors are personal structures the navigator builds in advance, for their own use, to deploy alone at 5:45am with nowhere to be. Most distinctively: NMF specifically names the identity anchor as distinct from the other three, recognising that identity dissolution is a specific crisis dimension requiring its own holding mechanism. Maria's sentence — 'we'll figure it out, we always do' — tested against actual history, not borrowed from a book, load-bearing because it has held before — has no formal name in any prior tradition. [INFERRED: The identity anchor is the most distinctive single concept in the Guardrails framework and in the full NMF series to date.]

Construct 3: Rules — The Promises You Don't Break

The coworker who said yes to every shift, every swap, every favour — and burned out completely. She had no rules. Not work rules. Promises her mind made to her mind about what she will and will not allow, no matter what, no matter who's asking, no matter how guilty she feels.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

Rules are not willpower. Willpower runs out — usually by Thursday. A rule is a line drawn when you were thinking clearly, so that when you cannot think clearly, the line holds even when you can't. Three types: Boundary Rules (what you don't let in), Behavioural Rules (what you keep doing), Identity Rules (who you are when it matters). Break a Behavioural Rule and you feel annoyed. Break an Identity Rule and the Identity resident has no answer to 'Who am I?' Rules must be yours — not your mother's rules, not your boss's expectations.

CONVERGENT WORK

Aristotle — Virtue Ethics and Habituation

Aristotle argued that virtues are developed through habitual practice until right action becomes second nature: we become just by doing just acts, temperate by doing temperate acts, brave by doing brave acts. The NMF identity rules — 'I don't lie, I don't abandon people in a crisis, I don't pretend to be okay when I'm not' — are precisely what Aristotle calls virtues: stable dispositions to act in characteristic ways that express who the person is. Phronesis (practical wisdom) requires a foundation of stable virtuous character that Rules provide.

Commitment Devices / Ulysses Contracts — Elster, Strotz

A Ulysses contract is a voluntary, pre-arranged commitment device wherein an individual, operating from a position of clear judgment, imposes binding constraints on their future behaviour to safeguard against foreseeable lapses in self-control. The core logic: the person who binds Odysseus to the mast is the clear-headed present self protecting the future self who will beg to be released when the Sirens sing. NMF Rules operate identically — the version of you who drew the line when you were calm is protecting the version of you standing at the end of a double shift with someone screaming at you.

Self-Determination Theory — Ryan and Deci

SDT holds that when rules are experienced as autonomous — genuinely chosen by the person — they support basic psychological needs and lead to greater wellbeing and persistence. When rules are experienced as externally imposed, they undermine motivation and generate resistance. The document is emphatic: 'the most important thing about rules is this: they must be yours.' This is SDT's autonomy need expressed as a design requirement for effective rules.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

Aristotle's habituation describes character formation over years. NMF Rules describe what a person can deploy today — written now by the clear-headed version of them, operative now in the next activated moment. The Ulysses contract is typically a formal external arrangement. NMF Rules are entirely internal — promises the mind makes to itself, not enforced by external structure. SDT describes why autonomous rules work but does not give a typology of what kinds serve different functions. NMF provides that typology: boundary rules protect the house from outside; behavioural rules keep movement going; identity rules protect the story. Different consequences for breaking each tier. [INFERRED: The three-tier structure specifies not just that rules matter, but which kind of rule serves which function.]

Construct 4: The Composite — A Pre-Navigation Architecture

When the storm hits: Articulation names what is happening so the chaos has a shape. Anchors keep the house from being swept away. Rules prevent the navigator from making decisions they will regret while the residents are screaming. Together: a pre-navigation survival system.

THE DOCUMENT'S CLAIM

These three tools form a sequence: Articulation names what is happening so the chaos has a shape; Anchors keep the house from being swept away; Rules prevent the navigator from making decisions they will regret while the residents are screaming. Together they constitute a pre-navigation architecture — tools that keep the navigator intact until the Navigation Loop can run again. Without them, the storm carries the navigator wherever it wants. With them, the navigator wakes up where they were — still there, still close enough to their direction to find it again.

CONVERGENT WORK

Resilience Research — Three Convergent Factors

Resilience research (Masten, Bonanty) identifies three factors that predict recovery from adversity: cognitive clarity (making sense of what is happening), stable relationships and routines, and coherent identity narratives — corresponding precisely to Articulation, Anchors, and Rules. The research converges on the same three factors but describes them as predictors; NMF describes them as tools to deploy in the middle of crisis.

ACT — Psychological Flexibility vs. Experiential Avoidance

ACT names experiential avoidance as the core mechanism of psychological suffering. NMF Articulation is the direct counter — you move toward the fog rather than away from it. But ACT does not distinguish between naming what is happening (Articulation), structural stability (Anchors), and pre-committed identity (Rules) as three separate but coordinated functions.

PFA — Stabilise Before Processing

PFA's sequence — stabilise, connect, restore capacity — reflects the same underlying logic as the composite: you cannot process until you are stable, and you cannot navigate until you can process. The composite architecture makes this sequence explicit as a set of personal tools the navigator deploys for themselves.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT NMF ADDS

No single prior tradition assembles all three functions into an explicit pre-navigation architecture. Resilience research describes predictors after the fact. PFA requires an external provider. ACT addresses avoidance/approach but does not provide the structural toolkit. What NMF adds is the explicit sequencing and differentiation of three functions that prior traditions either conflate, address separately, or address only descriptively. The composite answers a question no prior tradition asks this precisely: when the Navigation Loop cannot run, when the navigator cannot steer — what do they do? The answer is three specific tools, sequenced, each serving a distinct function. [INFERRED: This composite architecture is NMF's most practically distinctive contribution in the entire series. Each component has prior traditions; the specific assembly as an integrated pre-navigation system does not.]

Summary: Where Guardrails for Uncertainty Stands in This Terrain

Guardrails Construct	Strongest Convergent Tradition	What NMF Adds
Articulation — Emotional Level	Affect labelling (Lieberman 2007) — amygdala/prefrontal pathway	Three-level framework with navigational destination; fog becomes a white line
Articulation — Structural Level	Gendlin's Focusing — felt sense, handle, felt shift	Names which resident is speaking; connects phenomenological clarity to Inner House architecture
Articulation — Directional Level	Gendlin (carrying forward implicit knowing)	Explicitly points toward next action; Focusing with a navigational destination built in
Anchors — Structural	PFA (environmental safety), crisis stabilisation research	Personal pre-built structures for solo use; navigator builds in advance for their own worst days
Anchors — Behavioural	PFA (physical regulation), chronobiology	Body holds a rhythm the mind has lost — not wellness, not fitness, but the structural floor
Anchors — Emotional	Winnicott (holding environment)	Presence without agenda; Trust hears 'someone is here' and stops screaming
Anchors — Identity	No direct precedent	Load-bearing sentence from the navigator's own life — tested against actual history; most distinctive concept in the series
Rules — Boundary	SDT (autonomy need), Ulysses contracts	Must be yours; self-authorship is a design requirement for effective rules
Rules — Behavioural	Aristotle (habituation), commitment devices	Pre-commitment made in clarity; operates now, not over years; structure not willpower
Rules — Identity	Aristotle (virtue as second nature), Ulysses contracts	Three-tier typology with different consequences for breaking each tier; identity rules protect the story
The Composite Architecture	Resilience research (Masten), PFA, ACT	Pre-navigation architecture for when the Loop cannot run; no prior tradition assembles all three this way

Closing Note

The research confirms what the title announces: Guardrails for Uncertainty is doing something more structurally ambitious than any single document in the series. Each of its three tools has deep roots — Articulation reaches back to phenomenology and neuroscience, Anchors to crisis psychology and the holding environment, Rules to virtue ethics and behavioural economics. But their integration into a single architecture for the period when the navigator cannot steer is what makes the framework distinctively NMF's.

Maria's story in Anchors is the research map made human. She never named what she was doing — she just cleaned the kitchen, got up at 5:45, called Diane, and heard herself say 'we'll figure it out.' She deployed all four anchor types and an identity rule in six weeks of crisis, without a framework, because something in her already knew what to hold. What NMF provides is the vocabulary for what Maria already did — so that the next person standing in the parking lot at 2:20pm can do it on purpose.