

Convergent Research Map

The Navigation Loop — The Mind's Self-Correcting Engine · Navigational Mind Framework

*Prepared under NMA-14 Rev.1 Governance · Dr. Olutoyese Oyelese, MD · Navigational Mind Inc.
Research conducted August 2026*

Preface

The Navigation Loop is the most architecturally complete document in the NMF series. Where the Sphere mapped the structure of experience, BOF provided a discipline at the moment of decision, and the Process Method provided a motion architecture for paralysis, the Navigation Loop describes the mind's self-correcting engine in full: a six-stage cycle (Sense, Interpret, Act, Reflect, Update, Orient), two named failure modes (the Reactor and the Overthinker), and a geometric distinction between two shapes a life can take (circle vs. spiral). Claims are labelled EVIDENCED (directly grounded in retrieved sources) or INFERRED (warranted logical extension).

Construct 1: The Six-Stage Loop — Sense, Interpret, Act, Reflect, Update, Orient (SIARUO)

THE LOOP'S CLAIM

The mind runs six stages continuously: Sense (raw noticing without editing), Interpret (the whole house weighing in — residents making meaning), Act (the next true thing, not the guaranteed thing), Reflect (honest observation of what happened), Update (the internal map shifts), Orient (find bearings again with new data, ready for the next cycle). The question is not whether you run the loop — everyone does — but whether it completes.

CONVERGENT WORK

Boyd's OODA Loop

Developed by USAF Colonel John Boyd in the early 1970s from observing fighter pilots in combat, the OODA loop (Observe, Orient, Decide, Act) is a continuous cycle for effective decisions in dynamic, uncertain environments. Boyd saw Orient as the most crucial stage — contextualising observations against everything already known. Structural overlap with the Navigation Loop is substantial: Observe ≈ Sense; Orient ≈ Interpret; Decide + Act ≈ Act; loop-back ≈ Reflect + Update + Orient.

Cybernetics — Wiener

Cybernetics is the study of self-regulating systems that achieve or maintain specific goals through feedback mechanisms, allowing for self-correction despite changing conditions. Wiener defined feedback as the property of being able to adjust future conduct by past performance. The Navigation Loop is, at its deepest level, a cybernetic feedback system applied to the individual mind — sensing discrepancy between internal direction and current position, then correcting.

Carver & Scheier — Self-Regulation Theory

Self-regulation requires monitoring current state relative to standards, noting discrepancy, and operating to reduce it. Self-regulation occurs via feedback loops wherein people set a goal, self-monitor their current status, and modify behaviour to close the perceived discrepancy. This is the cybernetic feedback model applied to human psychology.

Enactive Cognition — Varela, Thompson, Maturana

The enactive view holds that cognition develops via dynamic, bidirectional exchanges between an organism and its surroundings. Organisms are sense-making beings that establish their own goals and make meaning out of their interactions with the world. The Navigation Loop's six stages are a phenomenological description of exactly this sense-making cycle — experienced from the inside of a human life.

Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle

Kolb's four-stage cycle (Concrete Experience, Reflective Observation, Abstract Conceptualisation, Active Experimentation) converges on the loop's structure at the Reflect-Update-Orient sequence. Effective learning requires moving through all four stages, and the second experience becomes the concrete experience for the beginning of the next cycle.

Schön's Reflective Practice

Schön distinguishes reflection-in-action (mid-loop adjustment — legs moving differently before the brain catches up in the road-crossing vignette) and reflection-on-action (the shower replay the next morning).

Schön frames the practitioner as a researcher in their own context, not separating thinking from doing. The Navigation Loop's Reflect and Update stages together constitute Schön's reflection-on-action at the personal, everyday scale.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT THIS FRAMEWORK ADDS

Three distinctive features distinguish the Navigation Loop: (a) Interpret is named as a stage where the whole inner structure of the mind weighs in — not just rational evaluation; (b) Update is a distinct stage where the internal map shifts; (c) Orient is the sixth and final stage, not the first. In OODA, Orient contextualises fresh observations. In the Navigation Loop, Orient repositions the navigator after the loop has run, with new data in hand. This gives the NMF loop a directional quality: the loop does not return you to where you started — it repositions you. [INFERRED: Orient-as-last is the Loop's most structurally distinctive contribution.]

Construct 2: The Two Failure Modes — The Reactor and The Overthinker

THE LOOP'S CLAIM

The Reactor senses and acts — skipping Interpret, Reflect, Update, Orient. Same trigger, same explosion, same fight six weeks later, verbatim. Movement without learning. The Overthinker senses and interprets — beautifully, in exquisite paralyzing detail — but never acts. No action means no new data, which means no Update and no genuine learning. The loop stalls. The mind spins in place.

CONVERGENT WORK

Carver & Scheier — Impulsivity vs. Over-Deliberation

Carver and Scheier's self-regulation research identifies impulsivity — reactive responsiveness dominated by bottom-up emotional processes — as a transdiagnostic risk factor. This corresponds precisely to the Reactor. Conversely, their research identifies excessive deliberation without action — the feedback system that monitors and evaluates continuously but never closes the loop with action. This is the Overthinker.

Kahneman — Dual-Process Theory

Kahneman's dual-process theory maps loosely onto the same structure: impulsive fast processing (System 1 / Reactor) and deliberate slow processing that can become paralysing (System 2 / Overthinker). However, Kahneman's framing describes cognitive styles without the architectural diagnosis the Loop provides.

Freud — Repetition Compulsion

Freud's repetition compulsion is the psychoanalytic ancestor of the Reactor: the unconscious tendency to repeat patterns of behaviour that were difficult or distressing in earlier life. The same six-week fight pattern described by the document is a clinical description of what Freud called the compulsion to repeat. Freud identifies the pattern without providing the architectural explanation for why it persists.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT THIS FRAMEWORK ADDS

The Navigation Loop's contribution is architectural precision. The Reactor is not impulsive or unconsciously compelled — they are operating a shortened loop that skips the stages that would produce learning. The Overthinker is not excessively rational or anxious — they are operating a loop that stalls before the Act stage, producing no new data. The fix for both is the same architectural one: complete the missing stages. [INFERRED: This reframe is more actionable than either the psychoanalytic or dual-process account because it specifies exactly what is missing, rather than pointing to a trait or an unconscious mechanism.]

Construct 3: Circle vs. Spiral — Same Engine, Different Altitude

THE LOOP'S CLAIM

A circle brings you back to the same spot. A spiral brings you back to a similar spot — but higher. Same territory. Different altitude. The same loop, completing fully each time, refining direction by a small degree. A circle is the loop breaking at the same point every time. A spiral is the loop completing. Same engine. The difference is whether all six stages run.

CONVERGENT WORK

Bruner — Spiral Curriculum

Jerome Bruner argued that any subject can be taught at any age if it is revisited in progressively more sophisticated forms — a curriculum structured as a spiral rather than a ladder. The Navigation Loop's circle/spiral distinction echoes this: same territory, increasing sophistication of engagement with it.

Clinical Psychology — Upward Spiral

In clinical therapy, the upward spiral metaphor describes how patients confront the same relational patterns repeatedly — not as evidence of failure, but as re-entry at a different altitude, with more data and more refined response. Each circle in the spiral is slightly different than the one before. The same theme returns, but not from the same vantage point.

Kolb — Each Cycle as New Concrete Experience

Kolb's formulation that the second experience becomes the concrete experience for the beginning of the next cycle describes the spiral structurally: each cycle of the loop is not repetition of the same level but input to a higher-order engagement with the same material.

Freud — Repetition Compulsion (the Circle)

Freud's repetition compulsion names the circle without the architecture for escaping it. The circle persists precisely because the loop breaks at the same point every time. The Navigation Loop provides the mechanism Freud did not: name where the break occurs and what completing those stages produces.

DIFFERENTIAL — WHAT THIS FRAMEWORK ADDS

The Navigation Loop's contribution is to give the circle/spiral distinction a mechanical explanation rooted in loop completion rather than in growth mindset, insight, or therapeutic breakthrough. You do not move from circle to spiral by becoming wiser or more self-aware — you move by completing the stages you were previously skipping. The spiral is not a psychological achievement; it is an architectural one. [INFERRED: This is arguably the most practically usable formulation of the circle/spiral distinction in any of the convergent traditions, because it specifies exactly what mechanical change produces it.]

Summary: Where the Navigation Loop Stands in This Terrain

Navigation Loop Construct	Strongest Convergent Tradition	What the Loop Adds
Six-Stage SIARUO Loop	OODA (Boyd), Cybernetics (Wiener), Kolb, Enactive Cognition	Orient as final repositioning stage; Interpret names whole inner structure; Update as distinct stage
Sense	Enactive cognition, OODA (Observe)	Explicitly non-analytical — raw noticing before editing; house picks up signal before conscious mind names it
Interpret	Schön (reflection-in-action), Enactive cognition	Named as whole ensemble of the mind weighing in simultaneously, not a single rational evaluation stage
Act	OODA (Decide + Act), BA (action before motivation)	Not the guaranteed thing — the next true thing based on what was sensed and interpreted
Reflect	Kolb (reflective observation), Schön (reflection-on-action)	Not judgment (good/bad) — honest observation of what happened; stripped of evaluation
Update	Cybernetics (negative feedback correction), Carver/Scheier	Named as distinct stage where internal map shifts — not embedded silently in the loop-back
Orient	OODA (Orient — but as second stage)	Orient is last in the NMF loop; repositions with new data rather than contextualises fresh input — directional not positional
Two Failure Modes	Carver/Scheier, Kahneman (System 1/2), Freud (repetition compulsion)	Loop-architectural diagnosis: not personality types but descriptions of where specific stages are skipped
Circle vs. Spiral	Bruner (spiral curriculum), clinical psychology, Freud, Kolb	Mechanical explanation: circle = loop breaks at same point; spiral = loop completes; transition requires completing missing stages

Closing Note

The Navigation Loop has the richest convergence landscape of all documents surveyed in this series. It touches cybernetics at its foundations, connects to military decision theory (OODA), professional learning theory (Schön, Kolb), enactive cognitive science (Varela), and clinical psychology (Carver/Scheier, Freud). These are not incidental resemblances — each tradition independently arrived at a feedback loop as the operative structure of adaptive behaviour.

What the Navigation Loop adds across all of them is a suite of precise contributions: the position of Orient as the final repositioning stage rather than a contextualising one; the naming of Interpret as the whole ensemble of the mind rather than a single rational evaluator; the naming of Update as a distinct stage where the map shifts; and most importantly, the mechanical explanation for why circles persist and how spirals begin. No prior tradition gives a loop-architectural account of both failure modes and their repair simultaneously.

The document's claim — that the question is not whether you run the loop, but whether it completes — is well-supported by research. Every tradition surveyed found the same loop. None named so precisely what breaks it and what lets it run.